Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/839,289

David B. Fick et at

Examiner

Sudhaker Patel

Art Unit 1624

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO perso	nnel):
(1) Sudhaker Patel	(3) Mr. Louis Cullman
(2)	(4)
Date of Interview Oct 17, 2002	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐applicant 2) [a	applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)	₫o. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: <u>Claims of the application</u>	
Identification of prior art discussed: None	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) vas reached. g) Substance of Interview including description of the general natural other comments: Examiner initiated the discussion. Applicants were informed about dated 3/13/02. Applicants pointed out that due to change in address Examiner suggested that a copy of the earlier Office Action paper.	te of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any ut the first Office Action on merits sent to them wide paper # 5 tess and also change of attorney, their file is not updated
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments summary thereof must be attached.)	s which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, it nents that would render the claims allowable is available, a
i) 🔀 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate rec	cord of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WINCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM TISUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of	/RITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required